**PSCI Survey**

From: Eric Eich, Editor-in-Chief, *Psychological Science*, [ee@psych.ubc.ca](mailto:ee@psych.ubc.ca)

UBC Behavioural Research Ethics Board, Certificate of Approval (H13-00264, 12 February 2013)

**Disclosure Statement**

For all studies in your recently submitted manuscript to *Psychological Science*, please endorse the following statements: (type an X to indicate your answer):

(1) We reported the total number of observations that were excluded (if any) and the reasons for doing so. (If no observations excluded, mark Yes.)  
Yes: \_X\_\_ No: \_\_\_

***If no, please report this information here*** *(e.g., data from 3 participants in Study 2 excluded due to computer malfunction; 4 participants in Study 1 excluded for not following instructions):*

(2) We reported all independent variables or manipulations, whether successful or failed.

Yes: \_X\_\_ No: \_\_\_   
 *If No, please provide brief explanation for not reporting this**information (e.g., critical software implementation error; editor suggested we drop condition A in Experiment B):*

(3) We reported all dependent variables or measures.

Yes: \_X\_\_ No: \_\_\_  
*If No, please provide brief explanation for not reporting this information (e.g., measures not related to research question; scores from unreported questionnaire items insufficiently reliable):*

***Not sure what to put here, we collected typing error and used it as an exclusionary statistic, but didn’t really report the means or anything.***

(4) We reported how we determined our sample size.

Yes: \_\_\_ No: \_X\_\_

*If No, please describe (a) the rationale for the sample sizes used and (b) how you decided to stop collecting data (e.g., decided ahead of time to collect data until minimum sample size achieved and this was followed; sample size determined by power analysis but did not achieve it by the end of term):*

***We used G\*Power to assess sample size for the 3-way interaction, and then collected data until we had a little bit more than the estimated amount due to loss of subjects for poor typing speed or multivariate outliers.***

**Follow-Up Questions**

(5) About how long did it take you to complete the Disclosure Statement?

***2 minutes.***

(6) How informative do you think your responses to the Disclosure Statement would have been to readers, reviewers, or editors, had they been able to see them?

***Said yes to most questions – our lab also researches statistics and outlier reporting – so we are very tuned into this exact problem. I think more people should report this information, so I think it would be helpful to readers to see what manipulations didn’t work almost as much as the ones that did.***

(7) Any suggestions? I welcome your feedback—positive or negative—on any aspect of the Disclosure Statement.

***Effect size – I know it’s required but there are many different ways to calculate even Cohen’s d…it would be interesting to know how they selected effect size.***

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Please return completed survey to Eric Eich at [ee@psych.ubc.ca](mailto:ee@psych.ubc.ca)

Thank you!
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